

## Criteria 1: Curricular Aspects

**Metric 1.4.1: Structured feedback received from 1) Students, 2) Teachers, 3) Employers, 4) Alumni 5) Parents for design and review of syllabus Semester wise /year wise**

- A. Any 4 of above
- B. Any 3 of above
- C. Any 2 of above
- D. Any 1 of above
- E. None of the above

**Marks: 10**

**Obtained Grade from NAAC: 2**

**Expected Grade from NAAC: 4**

**HEI Input:**

- A. Any 4 of above

**DVV suggested Input:**

- B. Any 3 of above

The HEI has NOT provided any data of feedback from the parents. The HEI has not attached data pertaining to design and review of syllabus filled by any element of the feedback system. The HEI to provide 05 filled forms from each category for design and review of syllabus together with the action taken on each of these. HEI statement of numbers does not carry a meaningful interpretation. The HEI to attach the college policy and the structure of the feedback system on curriculum, signed by the principal. The HEI to provide a visible working link on the website.

**HEI clarification Input:**

- A. Any 4 of above

Feedback from parent is not considered while answering this metric. Structured feedback on design and review of syllabus from Students, Teachers, Employers (Industry) and Alumni feedback forms are received and uploaded. So our response "A. Any 4" is justified. Feedback received is documented and discussed in meetings of BoS, Academic Council and BoM. Actions are reflected in changes in syllabus and delivery of curriculum. Departmental meetings and concerned links mentioned earlier contain all feedback of teachers about curriculum.

**HEI Recommended Input:**

- C. Any 2 of above

**Remark :**

The HEI was requested to attach the college policy and the structure of the feedback system on curriculum, signed by the principal. The student feedback is graduate exit report rather than running time feedback. This is more or less Alumni feedback. The HEI has not provided the college policy and the structure of the feedback system on curriculum, signed by the principal.

**Appeal:**


1. In Metric 1.4.1, all the documents were uploaded as per "Standard Operating Procedure for Data Validation and Verification Autonomous Institution for HEIs (updated as on 26/8/19)". However, DVV has asked for college policy and structure of feedback system on curriculum which was not part of SOP. Nonetheless we wish to state that curriculum design process takes into account the structured feedback of students, teachers, alumni and employers (industry).
2. With respect to documents uploaded at the time of DVV verification it is to be noted that the student feedback is current students feedback as well as graduate exit feedback. The feedback of all students apart from exiting students is regularly obtained and documents are available at <https://sggs.ac.in/naac-information-data/naac-qif-feedback/>
3. Industry Advisory panel inputs are considered and ratified by board of studies which have representation of all departmental teachers. This is evident through Teacher and Industry Advisory Panel Feedback documents uploaded on the link.
4. Hence we wish to go with our claim of A) any 4 of above with claimed feedbacks being taken from Students, Teachers, Alumni and Employers (Industry). With this HEI requests to be graded at 4 in this metric.



Dean Academic

**Dean (Academics)**

SGGS Institute of Engineering & Technology,  
Vishnupuri, Nanded



Director

**DIRECTOR**

Shri Guru Gobind Singh  
Institute Of Engg. & Tech  
Vishnupuri, Nanded

